

ITEM NO.301

COURT NO.1

SECTION PIL(W)

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G SI.A.NO. 345 in Writ Petition(s) (Civil) No(s). 13029/1985

M.C.MEHTA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

[Reports dated 05.10.2016 and 07.11.2016 of Environment Pollution  
(Prevention and Control) Authority]

Date : 25/11/2016 This application was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. SIKRI  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.A. BOBDE

Mr. Harish N. Salve Sr.Adv. (A.C.) (N.P.)

Ms. Aparajita Singh, Adv. (A.C.)

Mr. A.D.N. Rao, Adv. (A.C.)

Mr. Siddharth Chaudhary, Adv. (A.C.)

For Petitioner(s)

Petitioner-in-Person

For Respondent(s)

Mr. Ranjit Kumar, SG  
Ms. Pinky Anand, ASG  
Mr. S. Wasim A. Qadri, Adv.  
Mr. Ajay Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Saurabh Kirpal, Adv.  
Mr. Mukul Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Snidha Mehra, Adv.  
Mr. Shadman Ali, Adv.  
Mr. G.S. Makkar, Adv.  
Mr. S.N. Terdal, Adv.  
Mr. R.K. Rathore, Adv.  
Mr. Vibhu Sankar Mishra, Adv.  
Mr. Raj Bahadur Yadav, Adv.  
Mr. Sarfraz Ahmed Siddiqui, Adv.  
Ms. Satya Siddiqui, Adv.  
Mr. Shyam Singh Chauhan, Adv.  
Mr. B.K. Prasad, Adv.

Mr. Rahul Mehra, Adv.

Mr. Chirg M. Sharoff, Adv.  
Mr. Hemant Arya, Adv.

(R-8-SIAM) Dr. A.M. Singhvi, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Sandeep Narain, Adv.  
Mr. Avishkar Singhvi, Adv.  
For M/s. S. Narain & Co.

(St. of Haryana) Mr. Anil Grover, AAG  
Mr. Rahul Khurana, Adv.  
Mr. Sandeep Yadav, Adv.  
Mr. Sanjay Kr. Visen, Adv.

(St. of Punjab) Mr. Saurabh Ajay Gupta, AAG  
Mr. Nishant Bishnoi, Adv.  
Mr. Kuldeep Singh, Adv.

(St. of Uttar Pradesh) Mr. Harshvardhan Jha, Adv.  
Mr. Siddharth Sengar, Adv.  
Mr. M.R. Shamshad, Adv.

(CPCB) Mr. Vijay Panjwani, Adv.  
  
Mr. Praveen Swarup, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Heard.

Mr. Ranjit Kumar, learned Solicitor General, has pursuant to our Order dated 10<sup>th</sup> November, 2016 presented before us a draft of graded responses to different levels of pollution. A copy of the responses, as proposed in the said Draft, has been handed over to counsel opposite and Ms. Sunita Narayan, who is present in-person, to enable her to look into the matter and suggest such improvements and changes as may be considered necessary and feasible. Mr. Kumar submits that even a further draft shall be presented to this Court on or before the next dated of hearing. Mr. Kumar may also in the meantime take instructions and place the following information on record:

(1) What is the system of issuing Pollution under Control Certificates to vehicle owners and who licenses the Centre where such pollution checks are carried out and certificates issued in the form of stickers.

(2) How are the Centre, so licensed, monitored and by whom.

(3) How many licences, given to such Centres, have been cancelled on account of the Centre's not performing their duties satisfactorily.

(4) What steps, if any, can be taken to check vehicular pollution randomly either through the Transport Department or motor vehicle/traffic department concerned.

(5) Whether the pollution check Centres are computerised and whether data available to it is uploaded on Net, if so, which is the agency that monitors the entire process.

Post on Friday, the 2<sup>nd</sup> December, 2016 at 2 p.m. Needful be done in the meantime.

(MAHABIR SINGH)  
COURT MASTER

(VEENA KHERA)  
COURT MASTER